Mycielski among trees Nonstandard proofs of Mycielski and Egglestone like Theorems

Marcin Michalski, Szymon Żeberski and <u>Robert Rałowski</u> Wrocław University of Science and Technology

Kosice, 9-th September 2019

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Jan Mycielski - 2D case) If  $I = \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  then for every  $B \subseteq [0, 1]^2$  such that  $B^c \in I$  then there is perfect set  $P \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that

 $P \times P \subseteq B \cup \Delta$ ,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in [0, 1]\}.$ 

## Slalom tree

Let  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  be a tree. Then T is a <u>slalom</u> <u>tree</u> iff  $(\forall m \in \omega)(\forall s \in \omega^m)(\exists k \in \omega)(\forall x \in [T])(\forall i \in m)x(k+i) = s(i).$ 

#### Theorem

If  $G \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  is dense  $G_{\delta}$  then there is a slalom tree  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  such that  $[T] \times [T] \subseteq G \cup \Delta$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Strategy of proof

- fix V as the any ZFC transitive universe,
- prove the required theorem  $\varphi$  in some generic extension V[G],
- check the complexity of proved formula  $\varphi$ ,
- if our formula φ is Σ<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub> or ∏<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub> or simpler then use Schoenfield Theorem,

• and we are getting  $V \models \varphi$ .

## Absolutness

Let  $M \subseteq N$  - transitive models of ZF theory,  $\varphi \in \mathscr{L}(\epsilon)$  set theory formula with *n* free variables. Then  $\varphi$  is absolute between *M*, *N* if for every parameters  $a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in M$ 

$$M \models \varphi(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1})$$
 iff  $N \models \varphi(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# $\Sigma_2^1$ sentence

X canonical Polish space if is a countable product of  $2^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega}, \mathbb{R}, [0, 1]$  and  $Perf(\mathbb{R})$  as space of perfect sets.  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence if for some canonical spaces X, Y and Borel set  $B \subseteq X \times Y \varphi$  is

 $(\exists x \in X) (\forall y \in Y) (x, y) \in B.$ 

(X, Y, b) are parameters where  $b \in \omega^{\omega}$  is a Borel code for B.

# $\Sigma_2^1$ sentence

X canonical Polish space if is a countable product of  $2^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega}, \mathbb{R}, [0, 1]$  and  $Perf(\mathbb{R})$  as space of perfect sets.  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence if for some canonical spaces X, Y and Borel set  $B \subseteq X \times Y \varphi$  is

$$(\exists x \in X)(\forall y \in Y) (x, y) \in B.$$

(X, Y, b) are parameters where  $b \in \omega^{\omega}$  is a Borel code for B.

# Schoenfield Absolutness Theorem

#### Theorem

Let  $M \subseteq N$  be a standard transitive models of ZFC and  $\omega_1^N \subseteq M$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\Sigma_2^1$  formula with parameters from M then

$$M \models \varphi \text{ iff } N \models \varphi.$$

If N is a generic extension of M then  $Ord^M = Ord^N$  and  $\omega_1^N \subseteq M$ .

# Schoenfield Absolutness Theorem

#### Theorem

Let  $M \subseteq N$  be a standard transitive models of ZFC and  $\omega_1^N \subseteq M$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\Sigma_2^1$  formula with parameters from M then

$$M \models \varphi \text{ iff } N \models \varphi.$$

If N is a generic extension of M then  $Ord^M = Ord^N$  and  $\omega_1^N \subseteq M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  - tree then define

$$\mathsf{leaves}(\mathsf{T}) = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{T} : \ \neg(\exists \tau \in \mathsf{T}) \ \sigma \subseteq \tau \land \tau \neq \sigma \}$$

For any  $\sigma \in T$  define

$$rank_T(\sigma) = \sup\{rank_T(\tau) + 1 : \tau \in T \land \sigma \subsetneq \tau\}$$

 $ht(T) = rank_T(\emptyset).$  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  is a nice cutted tree if

$$(\exists n \in \omega)ht(T) = n \land leaves(T) \subseteq \omega^n.$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

# Forcing notion

### Define $(\mathscr{C}, \leq)$ as follows

- ▶  $\mathscr{C} = \{ p \subseteq \omega^{<\omega} : p \text{ is nice cutted tree and is finite} \},\$
- ►  $(\forall p, q \in \mathscr{C})$   $(p \leq q \text{ iff } q \subseteq p \land p \cap \omega^{ht(q)} = leaves(q)),$ (p is stronger than q).

Our (𝒞, ≤) is nonatomic and countable then is isomorphic to a forcing which adds one Cohen real.

# Forcing notion

Define  $(\mathscr{C}, \leq)$  as follows

- ▶  $\mathscr{C} = \{ p \subseteq \omega^{<\omega} : p \text{ is nice cutted tree and is finite} \},\$
- ►  $(\forall p, q \in \mathscr{C})$   $(p \leq q \text{ iff } q \subseteq p \land p \cap \omega^{ht(q)} = leaves(q)),$ (p is stronger than q).

Our  $(\mathscr{C}, \leq)$  is nonatomic and countable then is isomorphic to a forcing which adds one Cohen real.

# Theorem in one Cohen real extension

#### Theorem

After adding one Cohen real there is a perfect slalom tree T such that  $[T] \times [T] \subseteq W \cup \Delta$  for every dense  $G_{\delta}$  set  $W \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  from the ground model.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Sketch of Proof

#### Lemma

For every open dense set  $U \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  a finite open sets  $(V_k : k \in n)$  in  $\omega^{\omega}$ : there is sequence  $\{\sigma_k : k \in n\}$  of sequences such that for any  $k, l \in n$ 

- $[\sigma_k] \subseteq V_k,$
- $\blacktriangleright |\sigma_k| = |\sigma_l|,$
- $k \neq I \rightarrow [\sigma_k] \times [\sigma_l] \cup [\sigma_l] \times [\sigma_k] \subseteq U.$

### Claim If $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$ is generic filter over V and $T_G = \bigcup G$ then

- 1.  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree,
- 2. for every dense open set  $U \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  coded in ground model and any  $n \in \omega$  the set  $D_{n,U}$

 $\{p \in \mathscr{C}: \ (\forall s, t \in \mathit{leaves}(p))(n \leq |t|, |s| \land t \neq s) \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U\}$ 

is dense in  $(\mathscr{C}, \leq)$ .

3. Fix  $\dot{x} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p, q \in G$ . Assume that

 $p \Vdash \dot{x} \in [T_G] \land q \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright n \subseteq s$ 

for  $n \leq ht(q)$  and  $s \in q$ . Then there is  $r \in G$  and  $m \geq n$  such that  $r \leq p, q$  and  $r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$ .

#### Claim

## If $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$ is generic filter over V and $T_G = \bigcup G$ then

- 1.  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree,
- 2. for every dense open set  $U \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  coded in ground model and any  $n \in \omega$  the set  $D_{n,U}$

 $\{p \in \mathscr{C}: \ (\forall s, t \in \mathit{leaves}(p))(n \leq |t|, |s| \land t \neq s) \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U\}$ 

is dense in  $(\mathscr{C}, \leq)$ .

3. Fix  $\dot{x} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p, q \in G$ . Assume that

 $p \Vdash \dot{x} \in [T_G] \land q \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright n \subseteq s$ 

for  $n \leq ht(q)$  and  $s \in q$ . Then there is  $r \in G$  and  $m \geq n$  such that  $r \leq p, q$  and  $r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$ .

Claim

If  $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$  is generic filter over V and  $T_G = \bigcup G$  then

- 1.  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree,
- 2. for every dense open set  $U \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  coded in ground model and any  $n \in \omega$  the set  $D_{n,U}$

 $\{p \in \mathscr{C}: \ (\forall s, t \in \mathit{leaves}(p))(n \leq |t|, |s| \land t \neq s) \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U\}$ 

is dense in  $(\mathscr{C}, \leq)$ .

3. Fix  $\dot{x} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p, q \in G$ . Assume that

 $p \Vdash \dot{x} \in [T_G] \land q \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright n \subseteq s$ 

for  $n \leq ht(q)$  and  $s \in q$ . Then there is  $r \in G$  and  $m \geq n$  such that  $r \leq p, q$  and  $r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$ .

Claim

If  $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$  is generic filter over V and  $T_G = \bigcup G$  then

- 1.  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree,
- 2. for every dense open set  $U \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  coded in ground model and any  $n \in \omega$  the set  $D_{n,U}$

$$\{p \in \mathscr{C}: \ (\forall s, t \in \mathit{leaves}(p))(n \leq |t|, |s| \land t \neq s) \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U\}$$

is dense in  $(\mathscr{C}, \leq)$ .

3. Fix  $\dot{x} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p, q \in G$ . Assume that

$$p \Vdash \dot{x} \in [T_G] \land q \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright n \subseteq s$$

for  $n \leq ht(q)$  and  $s \in q$ . Then there is  $r \in G$  and  $m \geq n$  such that  $r \leq p, q$  and  $r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$ .

#### By first condition $T_G$ is slalom perfect tree.

Let  $\dot{x}, \dot{y} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p \in G$  such  $p \Vdash \dot{x}, \dot{y} \in [\dot{T}_G] \land \dot{x} \upharpoonright n_{x,y} \neq y \upharpoonright \dot{n}_{x,y}$ . By the Claim 2) there is  $q \in G$  such that  $q \leq p$  and for any  $s, t \in leaves(q)$  if  $t \neq s \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U$ . Then by the Claim 3) there is  $r \in G$  stronger than q and there is  $m > n_{x,y}$  such that

 $r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q) \land \dot{y} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$ 

Then we have for  $r \in G$ 

 $r \Vdash (\dot{x}, \dot{y}) \in [\dot{x} \upharpoonright m] \times [\dot{y} \upharpoonright m] \subseteq U.$ 

By first condition  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree. Let  $\dot{x}, \dot{y} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p \in G$  such  $p \Vdash \dot{x}, \dot{y} \in [T_G] \land \dot{x} \upharpoonright n_{x,y} \neq y \upharpoonright \dot{n}_{x,y}$ . By the Claim 2) there is  $q \in G$  such that  $q \leq p$  and for any  $s, t \in leaves(q)$  if  $t \neq s \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U$ . Then by the Claim 3) there is  $r \in G$  stronger than q and there is  $m > n_{x,y}$  such that

 $r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q) \land \dot{y} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$ 

Then we have for  $r \in G$ 

 $r \Vdash (\dot{x}, \dot{y}) \in [\dot{x} \upharpoonright m] \times [\dot{y} \upharpoonright m] \subseteq U.$ 

By first condition  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree. Let  $\dot{x}, \dot{y} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p \in G$  such  $p \Vdash \dot{x}, \dot{y} \in [\dot{T}_G] \land \dot{x} \upharpoonright n_{x,y} \neq y \upharpoonright \dot{n}_{x,y}$ . By the Claim 2) there is  $q \in G$  such that  $q \leq p$  and for any  $s, t \in leaves(q)$  if  $t \neq s \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U$ . Then by the Claim 3) there is  $r \in G$  stronger than q and there is  $m > n_{x,y}$  such that

 $r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q) \land \dot{y} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$ 

Then we have for  $r \in G$ 

 $r \Vdash (\dot{x}, \dot{y}) \in [\dot{x} \upharpoonright m] \times [\dot{y} \upharpoonright m] \subseteq U.$ 

By first condition  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree. Let  $\dot{x}, \dot{y} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p \in G$  such  $p \Vdash \dot{x}, \dot{y} \in [\dot{T}_G] \land \dot{x} \upharpoonright n_{x,y} \neq y \upharpoonright \dot{n}_{x,y}$ . By the Claim 2) there is  $q \in G$  such that  $q \leq p$  and for any  $s, t \in leaves(q)$  if  $t \neq s \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U$ . Then by the Claim 3) there is  $r \in G$  stronger than q and there is  $m > n_{x,y}$  such that

$$r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q) \land \dot{y} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$$

Then we have for  $r \in G$ 

 $r \Vdash (\dot{x}, \dot{y}) \in [\dot{x} \upharpoonright m] \times [\dot{y} \upharpoonright m] \subseteq U.$ 

By first condition  $T_G$  is slalom perfect tree. Let  $\dot{x}, \dot{y} \in V^{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $p \in G$  such  $p \Vdash \dot{x}, \dot{y} \in [\dot{T}_G] \land \dot{x} \upharpoonright n_{x,y} \neq y \upharpoonright \dot{n}_{x,y}$ . By the Claim 2) there is  $q \in G$  such that  $q \leq p$  and for any  $s, t \in leaves(q)$  if  $t \neq s \rightarrow [t] \times [s] \subseteq U$ . Then by the Claim 3) there is  $r \in G$  stronger than q and there is  $m > n_{x,y}$  such that

$$r \Vdash \dot{x} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q) \land \dot{y} \upharpoonright m \in leaves(q)$$

Then we have for  $r \in G$ 

$$r \Vdash (\dot{x}, \dot{y}) \in [\dot{x} \upharpoonright m] \times [\dot{y} \upharpoonright m] \subseteq U.$$

For every  $G \in G_{\delta}$  dense subset of  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  there exists slalom perfect set  $P \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $P \times P \subseteq G \cup \Delta$ .

## Proof.

Let assume

- V ZFC ground model,
- $W \in V$  dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ ,
- $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$  generic filter over V.

Then by previous Theorem, in V[G] there is a generic tree  $T_G$  such that  $[T_G] \times [T_G] \subseteq W \cup \Delta$ .But

 $\varphi = (\exists P \in Perf(\omega^{\omega}))(\forall x, y \in P)(x \neq y \to (x, y) \in W)$ 

is  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence with parameters in V.Then by the Schoenfield Absolutness Theorem  $V \vDash \varphi$ .

For every  $G \in G_{\delta}$  dense subset of  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  there exists slalom perfect set  $P \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $P \times P \subseteq G \cup \Delta$ .

## Proof.

Let assume

- V ZFC ground model,
- $W \in V$  dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ ,
- $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$  generic filter over V.

Then by previous Theorem, in V[G] there is a generic tree  $T_G$  such that  $[T_G] \times [T_G] \subseteq W \cup \Delta$ .But

 $\varphi = (\exists P \in Perf(\omega^{\omega}))(\forall x, y \in P)(x \neq y \rightarrow (x, y) \in W)$ 

is  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence with parameters in V.Then by the Schoenfield Absolutness Theorem  $V \vDash \varphi$ .

For every  $G \in G_{\delta}$  dense subset of  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  there exists slalom perfect set  $P \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $P \times P \subseteq G \cup \Delta$ .

#### Proof.

Let assume

- V ZFC ground model,
- $W \in V$  dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ ,
- $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$  generic filter over V.

Then by previous Theorem, in V[G] there is a generic tree  $T_G$  such that  $[T_G] \times [T_G] \subseteq W \cup \Delta$ .But

 $\varphi = (\exists P \in Perf(\omega^{\omega}))(\forall x, y \in P)(x \neq y \to (x, y) \in W)$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

is  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence with parameters in V.Then by the Schoenfield Absolutness Theorem  $V \vDash \varphi$ .

For every  $G \in G_{\delta}$  dense subset of  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  there exists slalom perfect set  $P \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $P \times P \subseteq G \cup \Delta$ .

## Proof.

Let assume

- V ZFC ground model,
- $W \in V$  dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ ,
- $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$  generic filter over V.

Then by previous Theorem, in V[G] there is a generic tree  $T_G$  such that  $[T_G] \times [T_G] \subseteq W \cup \Delta$ .But

$$\varphi = (\exists P \in Perf(\omega^{\omega}))(\forall x, y \in P)(x \neq y \rightarrow (x, y) \in W)$$

is  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence with parameters in V.Then by the Schoenfield Absolutness Theorem  $V \models \varphi$ .

For every  $G \in G_{\delta}$  dense subset of  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$  there exists slalom perfect set  $P \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $P \times P \subseteq G \cup \Delta$ .

#### Proof.

Let assume

- V ZFC ground model,
- $W \in V$  dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ ,

•  $G \subseteq \mathscr{C}$  - generic filter over V.

Then by previous Theorem, in V[G] there is a generic tree  $T_G$  such that  $[T_G] \times [T_G] \subseteq W \cup \Delta$ .But

$$\varphi = (\exists P \in Perf(\omega^{\omega}))(\forall x, y \in P)(x \neq y \rightarrow (x, y) \in W)$$

is  $\Sigma_2^1$  sentence with parameters in *V*.Then by the Schoenfield Absolutness Theorem  $V \vDash \varphi$ .

# Egglestone like Theorem

#### Theorem

Let  $I = \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} - \sigma$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be a Borel set such that  $G^c \in I(\mathbb{R}^2)$  Then there are  $B, P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that P - perfect and  $B^c \in I$  such that  $P \times B \subseteq G$ .

Sz. Żeberski, Nonstandard proofs of Egglestone like theorems, Proceedings of the Ninth Topological Symposium, 2001, 353-357.

Let V' - extension of ZFC model V and  $V' \models \aleph_2 < add(I) \le \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $G \in V$  and  $b \in \omega^{\omega}$  a borel code for G. Set  $G^* = \#b^{V'}$ In V' define  $Z = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_x^{*c} \in I\}$ . By Fubini (or Kuratowski - Ulam) theorem  $Z^c \in I$  so  $|Z| = \mathfrak{c} > \aleph_2$ . Choose  $T \subseteq Z$  with  $T = \aleph_2$ . Then  $(\bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*)^c \in I$ . Let  $B \in Bor(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $B^c \in I$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*$ . Observe that

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : B \subseteq G_x^*\}$$
 is coanalytic.

Let V' - extension of ZFC model V and  $V' \models \aleph_2 < add(I) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $G \in V$  and  $b \in \omega^{\omega}$  a borel code for G. Set  $G^* = \#b^{V'}$ In V' define  $Z = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_x^* \in I\}$ .

By Fubini (or Kuratowski - Ulam) theorem  $Z^c \in I$  so  $|Z| = \mathfrak{c} > \aleph_2$ . Choose  $T \subseteq Z$  with  $T = \aleph_2$ . Then  $(\bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*)^c \in I$ . Let  $B \in Bor(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $B^c \in I$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*$ . Observe that

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : B \subseteq G_x^*\}$$
 is coanalytic.

Let V' - extension of ZFC model V and  $V' \models \aleph_2 < add(I) \le \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $G \in V$  and  $b \in \omega^{\omega}$  a borel code for G. Set  $G^* = \#b^{V'}$ In V' define  $Z = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_x^{*c} \in I\}$ . By Fubini (or Kuratowski - Ulam) theorem  $Z^c \in I$  so  $|Z| = \mathfrak{c} > \aleph_2$ . Choose  $T \subseteq Z$  with  $T = \aleph_2$ . Then  $(\bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*)^c \in I$ . Let  $B \in Bor(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $B^c \in I$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*$ . Observe that

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : B \subseteq G_x^*\}$$
 is coanalytic.

Let V' - extension of ZFC model V and  $V' \models \aleph_2 < add(I) \le \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $G \in V$  and  $b \in \omega^{\omega}$  a borel code for G. Set  $G^* = \#b^{V'}$ In V' define  $Z = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_x^{*c} \in I\}$ . By Fubini (or Kuratowski - Ulam) theorem  $Z^c \in I$  so  $|Z| = \mathfrak{c} > \aleph_2$ . Choose  $T \subseteq Z$  with  $T = \aleph_2$ . Then  $(\bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*)^c \in I$ . Let  $B \in Bor(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $B^c \in I$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*$ . Observe that

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : B \subseteq G_x^*\}$$
 is coanalytic.

Let V' - extension of ZFC model V and  $V' \models \aleph_2 < add(I) \le \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $G \in V$  and  $b \in \omega^{\omega}$  a borel code for G. Set  $G^* = \#b^{V'}$ In V' define  $Z = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_x^{*c} \in I\}$ . By Fubini (or Kuratowski - Ulam) theorem  $Z^c \in I$  so  $|Z| = \mathfrak{c} > \aleph_2$ . Choose  $T \subseteq Z$  with  $T = \aleph_2$ . Then  $(\bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*)^c \in I$ . Let  $B \in Bor(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $B^c \in I$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in T} G_t^*$ . Observe that

$${\it A}=\{x\in \mathbb{R}:\;B\subseteq {\it G}_{\!x}^*\}$$
 is coanalytic.

#### Then in V' we have

 $(\exists B \in Bor(\mathbb{R}))(\exists P \in Perf(\mathbb{R}))(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R})(x, y) \in P \times B \rightarrow (x, y) \in G^*$ 

# which is $\Sigma_2^1$ .

In category case the set *B* can be dense  $G_{\delta}$  which can by written as arithmetical formula which is absolute between *V* and *V'* (analogoulsy in measure case). By Schoenfield's Absolutness Theorem the proof is finished

Then in V' we have

 $(\exists B \in Bor(\mathbb{R}))(\exists P \in Perf(\mathbb{R}))(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R})(x, y) \in P \times B \rightarrow (x, y) \in G^*$ 

which is  $\Sigma_2^1$ .

In category case the set B can be dense  $G_{\delta}$  which can by written as arithmetical formula which is absolute between V and V'(analogoulsy in measure case).

By Schoenfield's Absolutness Theorem the proof is finished.

Then in V' we have

 $(\exists B \in Bor(\mathbb{R}))(\exists P \in Perf(\mathbb{R}))(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R})(x, y) \in P \times B \rightarrow (x, y) \in G^*$ 

which is  $\Sigma_2^1$ .

In category case the set *B* can be dense  $G_{\delta}$  which can by written as arithmetical formula which is absolute between *V* and *V'* (analogoulsy in measure case).

By Schoenfield's Absolutness Theorem the proof is finished.

### Thank You

◆□ → < @ → < E → < E → ○ < ♡ < ♡</p>