On generalised Lusin sets with respect to two ideals

Robert Rałowski and Szymon Żeberski

Frontiers of Selection Principles 1-st September 2017, Warsaw

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition (Cardinal coefficients) For any $I \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ let

$$non(I) = min\{|A| : A \subset X \land A \notin I\}$$
$$cov(I) = min\{|\mathscr{A}| : \mathscr{A} \subset I \land \bigcup \mathscr{A} = X\}$$
$$cof(I) = min\{|\mathscr{A}| : \mathscr{A} \subset I \land \mathscr{A} - \text{Borel base of } I\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\mathbb{K} - \sigma \text{ ideal of meager sets}$ $\mathbb{L} - \sigma \text{ ideal of null sets}$

Definition

Let $I, J \subset \mathscr{P}(X)$ are σ - ideals on Polish space X, I has Borel base. We say that $L \subset X$ is a (I, J) - Luzin set if

$$\bullet \ (\forall B \in I) \ B \cap L \in J$$

If in addition the set *L* has cardinality κ then *L* is (κ, I, J) - Luzin set.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Definition

An ideals I and J are orthogonal in Polish space X if

$$\exists A \in \mathscr{P}(X) \ A \in I \land A^c \in J$$

and then we write $I \perp J$.

Fact

Assume that $I \perp J$.

1. There exist a (I, J) - Luzin set.

2. If L is a (I, J) - Luzin set then L is not (J, I) - Luzin set.

If $\mathbb{R} = M \cup N$ is Marczewski decomposition then N is (\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{L}) -Lusin set which has Baire property and is measurable.

Fact

Assume that $I \perp J$.

1. There exist a (I, J) - Luzin set.

2. If L is a (I, J) - Luzin set then L is not (J, I) - Luzin set.

If $\mathbb{R} = M \cup N$ is Marczewski decomposition then N is (\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{L}) -Lusin set which has Baire property and is measurable.

Definition (Tall σ -ideal)

We say that I is tall σ -ideal on Polish space when

- ► has Borel base,
- ▶ For any $B \in Bor \setminus I$ there is $P \in Perf \cap I$ such that $P \subseteq B$.

Definition

We say that σ -ideal J is perfectly small if any perfect set is not member of J.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition (Tall σ -ideal)

We say that I is tall σ -ideal on Polish space when

- ► has Borel base,
- ▶ For any $B \in Bor \setminus I$ there is $P \in Perf \cap I$ such that $P \subseteq B$.

Definition

We say that σ -ideal J is perfectly small if any perfect set is not member of J.

Lemma If I, J are σ -ideals on Polish space X such that

- 1. I is tall ideal,
- 2. J is perfectly small,

then every (I, J)-Lusin set is not I measurable set in X.

Proof.

Let A be I measurable (I, J)-Lusin set. Then for some $B \in Bor \setminus I$ $B \subseteq A$. Find $P \in Perf \cap I$ such that $P \subseteq B$.

$$P = P \cap A \in J$$

what is immposiible by perfectly smallnes of J.

Lemma

If I, J are σ -ideals on Polish space X such that

- 1. I is tall ideal,
- 2. J is perfectly small,

then every (I, J)-Lusin set is not I measurable set in X.

Proof.

Let A be I measurable (I, J)-Lusin set. Then for some $B \in Bor \setminus I$ $B \subseteq A$. Find $P \in Perf \cap I$ such that $P \subseteq B$.

$$P = P \cap A \in J$$

what is immposiible by perfectly smallnes of J.

Menger set

Let X be a Polish space and $A \subseteq X$. We say that A is Menger set in X iff for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A there is $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that

- ▶ $\mathcal{F} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$, for each $n \in \omega$,
- ▶ $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of *A*.

Theorem

Let X be a Polish space then every $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set is Menger.

Menger set

Let X be a Polish space and $A \subseteq X$. We say that A is Menger set in X iff for every sequence $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A there is $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that

•
$$\mathcal{F} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$$
, for each $n \in \omega$,

•
$$\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$$
 is open cover of A .

Theorem

Let X be a Polish space then every $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{0})$ -Lusin set is Menger.

Proof. Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}.$

Consider arbitrary $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of AFind $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.



Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\delta})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$.

Consider arbitrary $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of open covers of A

Find $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.



Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$. Consider arbitrary $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A Find $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.



Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$. Consider arbitrary $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A Find $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.

$$A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \subseteq \bar{A} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \in \mathbb{K}$$
$$A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n = (A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n) \cap A \in [X]^{\mathfrak{d}}$$

Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$. Consider arbitrary $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A Find $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.

$$A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \subseteq \overline{A} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \in \mathbb{K}$$

 $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n = (A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n) \cap A \in [X]^{\mathfrak{d}}$

Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$. Consider arbitrary $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A Find $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.

$$A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \subseteq \overline{A} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \in \mathbb{K}$$

 $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n = (A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n) \cap A \in [X]^{\mathfrak{d}}$

Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$. Consider arbitrary $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A Find $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.

$$A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \subseteq \overline{A} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \in \mathbb{K}$$

 $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n = (A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n) \cap A \in [X]^{\mathfrak{d}}$

Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Let A is $(\mathbb{K}, [X]^{\mathfrak{d}})$ -Lusin set and $D \in [A]^{\omega}$ is dense in A and $D = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$. Consider arbitrary $(\mathscr{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of A Find $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that $r_n \in U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.

$$A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \subseteq \overline{A} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \in \mathbb{K}$$

 $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n = (A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n) \cap A \in [X]^{\mathfrak{d}}$

Then $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is Menger. Find $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is open cover of $A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$. $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\})$ is open cover of A and $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \{U_n\} \in [\mathscr{U}_n]^{<\omega}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Theorem (Bukovsky)

If κ is uncountable regular cardinal and there are $(\kappa, \mathbb{K}, [\mathbb{R}]^{<\kappa})$ and $(\lambda, \mathbb{L}, [\mathbb{R}]^{<\lambda})$ - Luzin sets then

$$\kappa = cov(\mathbb{K}) = non(\mathbb{K}) = non(\mathbb{L}) = cov(\mathbb{L}) = \lambda.$$

Theorem (Bukovsky)

If $\kappa = cov(\mathbb{K}) = cof(\mathbb{K})$ then there exists $(\kappa, \mathbb{K}, [\mathbb{R}]^{<\kappa})$ - Luzin set.

Theorem (Bukovsky)

If κ is uncountable regular cardinal and there are $(\kappa, \mathbb{K}, [\mathbb{R}]^{<\kappa})$ and $(\lambda, \mathbb{L}, [\mathbb{R}]^{<\lambda})$ - Luzin sets then

$$\kappa = cov(\mathbb{K}) = non(\mathbb{K}) = non(\mathbb{L}) = cov(\mathbb{L}) = \lambda.$$

Theorem (Bukovsky)

If $\kappa = cov(\mathbb{K}) = cof(\mathbb{K})$ then there exists $(\kappa, \mathbb{K}, [\mathbb{R}]^{<\kappa})$ - Luzin set.

Definition

Let $\mathscr{F} \subset X^X$ be any family of functions on the Polish space X. We say that $A, B \subset X$ are equivalent with respect to \mathscr{F} if

$$(\exists f,g \in \mathscr{F}) (B = f[A] \land A = g[B])$$

Definition

We say that $A, B \subset X$ are Borel equivalent if A, B are equivalent with respect to the family of all Borel functions.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition

Let $\mathscr{F} \subset X^X$ be any family of functions on the Polish space X. We say that $A, B \subset X$ are equivalent with respect to \mathscr{F} if

$$(\exists f,g \in \mathscr{F}) (B = f[A] \land A = g[B])$$

Definition

We say that $A, B \subset X$ are Borel equivalent if A, B are equivalent with respect to the family of all Borel functions.

Theorem

Assume that X be a Polish space I, J are σ -ideals with Borel base. Let $\kappa = cov(I) = cof(I) \le non(J)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions from X to X. Assume that $|\mathcal{F}| \le \kappa$. Then we can find a sequence $(L_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ such that

- 1. L_{α} is (κ, I, J) Luzin set,
- for α ≠ β, L_α is not equivalent to L_β with respect to the family F.

Theorem

Assume that X be a Polish space I, J are σ -ideals with Borel base. Let $\kappa = cov(I) = cof(I) \le non(J)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions from X to X. Assume that $|\mathcal{F}| \le \kappa$. Then we can find a sequence $(L_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ such that

- 1. L_{α} is (κ, I, J) Luzin set,
- for α ≠ β, L_α is not equivalent to L_β with respect to the family F.

Corollary

If $2^{\omega} = cov(I) = non(J)$ then there exists continuum many different (I, J) - Luzin sets which aren't equivalent with respect to all I-measurable functions.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition

We say that σ - ideal I has Fubini property iff for every Borel set $A \subset X \times X \quad \{x \in X : A_x \notin I\} \in I \Longrightarrow \{y \in X : A^y \notin I\} \in I$

Lemma (folklore)

Let I be σ - ideal on 2^{ω} with conditions:

- $\mathbb{P}_I = Bor(2^{\omega}) \setminus I$ be a proper,
- ► I has Fubini property.

Assume that $B \in Bor(2^{\omega}) \cap I$ be a Borel set in V[G]. Then there exists $D \in V$ s.t.

$$B \cap (2^{\omega})^V \subset D \in I.$$

For Cohen and Solovay reals, see Solovay, Cichoń and Pawlikowski, see [2, 4, 8]

Definition

We say that σ - ideal I has Fubini property iff for every Borel set $A \subset X \times X \quad \{x \in X : A_x \notin I\} \in I \Longrightarrow \{y \in X : A^y \notin I\} \in I$

Lemma (folklore)

Let I be σ - ideal on 2^{ω} with conditions:

- $\mathbb{P}_I = Bor(2^{\omega}) \setminus I$ be a proper,
- ► I has Fubini property.

Assume that $B \in Bor(2^{\omega}) \cap I$ be a Borel set in V[G]. Then there exists $D \in V$ s.t.

$$B\cap (2^{\omega})^V\subset D\in I.$$

For Cohen and Solovay reals, see Solovay, Cichoń and Pawlikowski, see [2, 4, 8]

Definition Let $M \subseteq N$ be standard transitive models of ZF. Coding Borel sets from the ideal I is absolute iff

$$(\forall x \in M \cap \omega^{\omega})M \vDash \# x \in I \leftrightarrow N \vDash \# x \in I.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Theorem

Let $\omega < \kappa$ and I, J be σ - ideals with Borel base on 2^{ω} ,

- $\mathbb{P}_I = Bor(2^{\omega}) \setminus I$ be a proper forcing notion,
- I has Fubini property,
- Borel codes for sets from ideal J are absolute.

Then $\mathbb{P}_{I} = Bor(2^{\omega}) \setminus I$ - is preserving (I, J) - Luzin set porperty.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let G is \mathbb{P}_I generic over V and $L - (\kappa, I, J)$ - Luzin set in the ground model V. In V[G] take any $B \in I$ then $L \cap B \cap V = L \cap B$ By Lemma we can find $b \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ - Borel code s.t. $B \cap V \subset \#b \in I \cap V$ But L is (I, J)-Luzin set then $L \cap \#b \in J \cap V$, Let $c \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ be a Borel code s.t. $L \cap \#b \subset \#c \in J \cap V$ then by absolutness $\#c \in J$ in V[G]Finally we have in V[G]

Let G is \mathbb{P}_I generic over V and $L - (\kappa, I, J)$ - Luzin set in the ground model V. In V[G] take any $B \in I$ then $L \cap B \cap V = L \cap B$ By Lemma we can find $b \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ - Borel code s.t. $B \cap V \subset \#b \in I \cap V$ But L is (I, J)-Luzin set then $L \cap \#b \in J \cap V$, Let $c \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ be a Borel code s.t. $L \cap \#b \subset \#c \in J \cap V$ then by absolutness $\#c \in J$ in V[G]Finally we have in V[G]

Let G is \mathbb{P}_I generic over V and $L - (\kappa, I, J)$ - Luzin set in the ground model V. In V[G] take any $B \in I$ then $L \cap B \cap V = L \cap B$ By Lemma we can find $b \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ - Borel code s.t. $B \cap V \subset \#b \in I \cap V$ But L is (I, J)-Luzin set then $L \cap \#b \in J \cap V$, Let $c \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ be a Borel code s.t. $L \cap \#b \subset \#c \in J \cap V$ then by absolutness $\#c \in J$ in V[G]Finally we have in V[G]

Let G is \mathbb{P}_I generic over V and $L - (\kappa, I, J)$ - Luzin set in the ground model V. In V[G] take any $B \in I$ then $L \cap B \cap V = L \cap B$ By Lemma we can find $b \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ - Borel code s.t. $B \cap V \subset \#b \in I \cap V$ But L is (I, J)-Luzin set then $L \cap \#b \in J \cap V$, Let $c \in 2^{\omega} \cap V$ be a Borel code s.t. $L \cap \#b \subset \#c \in J \cap V$ then by absolutness $\#c \in J$ in V[G]Finally we have in V[G]

Theorem Let (\mathbb{P}, \leq) be a forcing notion such that

 $\{B: B \in I \cap \operatorname{Borel}(\mathscr{X}), B \text{ is coded in } V\}$

is a base for I in $V^{\mathbb{P}}[G]$. Assume that Borel codes for sets from ideals I, J are absolute. Then (\mathbb{P}, \leq) preserve being (I, J) - Luzin sets.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Corollary

Let (\mathbb{P}, \leq) be any forcing notion which does not change the reals *i*. e. $(\omega^{\omega})^{V} = (\omega^{\omega})^{V^{\mathbb{P}}[G]}$. Assume that Borel codes for sets from ideals I, J are absolute. Then (\mathbb{P}, \leq) preserve being (I, J) - Luzin sets.

Corollary

Assume that (\mathbb{P}, \leq) is a σ -closed forcing and Borel codes for sets from ideals I, J are absolute. Then (\mathbb{P}, \leq) preserve (I, J) - Luzin sets.

Corollary

Let (\mathbb{P}, \leq) be any forcing notion which does not change the reals *i*. e. $(\omega^{\omega})^{V} = (\omega^{\omega})^{V^{\mathbb{P}}[G]}$. Assume that Borel codes for sets from ideals I, J are absolute. Then (\mathbb{P}, \leq) preserve being (I, J) - Luzin sets.

Corollary

Assume that (\mathbb{P}, \leq) is a σ -closed forcing and Borel codes for sets from ideals I, J are absolute. Then (\mathbb{P}, \leq) preserve (I, J) - Luzin sets.

Let Ω is a family of clopen sets of Cantor space 2^ω and

$$C^{random} = \{f \in \Omega^{\omega} : (\forall n \in \omega) \mu(f(n)) < 2^{-n}\}$$

Let us define $\sqsubseteq = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sqsubseteq_n$ where

$$(\forall f \in C^{random})(\forall g \in 2^{\omega})(f \sqsubseteq_n g \leftrightarrow (\forall k \ge n) g \notin f(k)).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

g covers N if for any $f \in C^{random} \cap N$ $f \sqsubseteq g$. We write $N \sqsupseteq g$.

We say that forcing notion P almost preserving relation \sqsubseteq^{random} if for any countable large enough elementary submodel $N \prec H_{\kappa}$ (for large enough κ) If $N \sqsubseteq g$ and $p \in P \cap N$ then there exists stronger condition $q \in P$ which is (N, P) generics at $a \models^{n} N[C] \sqsubseteq a^{n}$.

Definition of the notion of preservation of relation \sqsubseteq^{random} by forcing notion (\mathbb{P}, \leq) can be found in paper [5]. Let us focus on the following consequence of that definition.

We say that forcing notion P almost preserving relation \sqsubseteq^{random} if for any countable large enough elementary submodel $N \prec H_{\kappa}$ (for large enough κ)

If $N \sqsubseteq g$ and $p \in P \cap N$ then there exists stronger condition $q \in P$ which is (N, P) generic s.t. $q \Vdash "N[G] \sqsubseteq g"$.

Definition of the notion of preservation of relation \sqsubseteq^{random} by forcing notion (\mathbb{P}, \leq) can be found in paper [5]. Let us focus on the following consequence of that definition.

We say that forcing notion P almost preserving relation \sqsubseteq^{random} if for any countable large enough elementary submodel $N \prec H_{\kappa}$ (for large enough κ)

If $N \sqsubseteq g$ and $p \in P \cap N$ then there exists stronger condition $q \in P$ which is (N, P) generic s.t. $q \Vdash "N[G] \sqsubseteq g"$.

Definition of the notion of preservation of relation \sqsubseteq^{random} by forcing notion (\mathbb{P}, \leq) can be found in paper [5]. Let us focus on the following consequence of that definition.

We say that forcing notion P almost preserving relation \sqsubseteq^{random} if for any countable large enough elementary submodel $N \prec H_{\kappa}$ (for large enough κ)

If $N \sqsubseteq g$ and $p \in P \cap N$ then there exists stronger condition $q \in P$ which is (N, P) generic s.t. $q \Vdash "N[G] \sqsubseteq g"$.

Definition of the notion of preservation of relation \sqsubseteq^{random} by forcing notion (\mathbb{P}, \leq) can be found in paper [5]. Let us focus on the following consequence of that definition.

Theorem (Goldstern)

If (\mathbb{P}, \leq) preserves \sqsubseteq^{random} then $\mathbb{P} \Vdash \mu^*(2^{\omega} \cap V) = 1$.

Now we say that forcing notion \mathbb{P} is preserving outer measure iff \mathbb{P} preserve \sqsubseteq^{random} .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Goldstern, Judah, Shelah)

Random forcing and Laver forcing preserves outer measure.

Theorem (Goldstern)

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} = ((P_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}) : \alpha < \gamma)$ be any countable support iteration such that

$$(\forall \alpha < \gamma) P_{\alpha} \Vdash Q_{\alpha} \text{ preserves } \sqsubseteq^{random}$$

then \mathbb{P}_{γ} preserves the relation \sqsubseteq^{random} .

Theorem

Assume that \mathbb{P} is a forcing notion which preserves \sqsubseteq^{random} . Then \mathbb{P} preserves being (\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{K}) -Luzin set.

Theorem (Goldstern)

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} = ((P_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}) : \alpha < \gamma)$ be any countable support iteration such that

$$(\forall \alpha < \gamma) P_{\alpha} \Vdash Q_{\alpha} \text{ preserves } \sqsubseteq^{random}$$

then \mathbb{P}_{γ} preserves the relation \sqsubseteq^{random} .

Theorem

Assume that \mathbb{P} is a forcing notion which preserves \sqsubseteq^{random} . Then \mathbb{P} preserves being (\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{K}) -Luzin set.

Thank You for your attention

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

- T. Bartoszynski, H. Judah, S. Shelah, The Cichon Diagram, J. Symbolic Logic vol. 58 (2) (1993), pp.401-423,
- J. Cichoń, *On two-cardinal properties of ideals*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **vol 314**, no. 2 (1989), pp 693-708,
- J. Cichoń, J. Pawlikowski, *On ideals of subsets of the plane and on Cohen reals*, J. Symbolic Logic **vol. 51**, no. 1 (1986), pp 560-569
- J. Pawlikowski, Why Solovay real produces Cohen real, J. Symbolic Logic **vol. 51** no. 4 (1986), pp 957-968,
- M. Goldstern, Tools for your forcing construction, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings, vol. 06 (1992), pp.307-362,
- H. Judah, S. Shelah, *The Kunnen Miller chart*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, (1990).
- R. Rałowski, Sz. Żeberski, Generalised Lusin sets, Houston Journal of Mathematics, electronic edition vol. 39, no. 3, 2013. pp. 983-993